Studio Rhode Evaluation Measures							
	Unsatisfactory (1)	Meets Some Expectations (2)	Meets Expectations (3)	Lighthouse (4)			
Call to Action/ Studio Rhode "Hack"	The solution proposed does not obviously connect to the identified problem.	The applicant provides a compelling solution (or "hack") for their identified problem.	The applicant presents a clearly different vision (or "hack") for library services, providing a compelling solution clearly connected to the problem.	The applicant presents a clearly different vision (or "hack") for library services, providing a compelling solution clearly connected to the problem. The solution empowers users to creatively use digital tools to address community problems.			
2a. Community Need	Applicant has not identified an important and unsolved community need.	Applicant presents a compelling and unsolved community need, but does not support that need with local data.	Applicant presents a compelling and unsolved community need, supports the need through data, and demonstrates understanding of the problem.	Applicant presents a compelling, well researched community problem, and demonstrates a deep understanding of the problem, its impact and the community affected.			
2b. Project Purpose		Project does not clearly meet a need within the community and/or does not align with the library's strategic plan.	Project clearly meets a need within the community served and aligns with the library's strategic plan.	Project clearly meets a need within the community served and advances the library's strategic plan.			
2c. Physical & Technology Infrastructure	Applicant does not adequately describe physical/technology infrastructure.	Applicant does not have the physical and/or technology infrastructure to accomodate their project.	Applicant has the physical and/or technology infrastructure to accomodate their project.	Applicant has the physical and/or technology infrastructure to accomodate their project and will use the infrastructure in ways that will amplify the effect of Studio Rhode.			
3a. Design Solution	The applicant does not provide most or all requested information.	The applicant provides all requested information, however, the planned activities and outputs do not clearly align with the needs statement.	coherent, and achievable	The applicant provides a clear, coherent, and achievable project plan. The plan clearly connects the needs statement to proposed activities and outputs and provides an innovative approach to the problem.			
3b. Timeline	The applicant does not provide a clear or detailed project timeline.	The applicant has a clear timeline, however outlined project activities may be challenging to launch or achieve in 4-month pilot period.	The applicant has a clear timeline. Outlined project activities will be ready to launch at the start of the grant period and are achievable within the 4-month pilot period.	The applicant has a clear and detailed timeline. Outlined project activities will be ready to launch at start of grant period and are achievable within 4-month pilot. Applicant presents a clear plan for how Studio Rhode will be aligned with library programming.			

	Unsatisfactory (1)	Meets Some Expectations (2)	Meets Expectations (3)	Lighthouse (4)
4. Metrics & Evaluation	Metrics provided are vague and will not measure success of the proposed project.	Metrics are clearly connected to the problem/design solution and would measure success or identify areas for improvement.	Metrics are clearly connected to the problem/design solution and would clearly measure success. Evaluation methods are clearly described.	Metrics are clearly connected to the problem/design solution and would clearly measure success or failure. Evaluation methods are clearly described, align with project activities and will demonstrate impact.
5. Impact	Anticipated impact is unclear or does not clearly connect with project activities, outcomes and metrics.	Anticipated impact addresses activities, outcomes and metrics for succes. However, impact is not clearly connected with the project intent.	Anticipated impact connects well-defined activities, outcomes, and metrics that address the identified community need.	Anticipated impact connects well-defined activites, outcomes and metrics for success that clearly address both the identified community need and the effectiveness of the solution.
6. Sustainability	The applicant has no sustainability plan.	The applicant has a sustainability plan, but it is unclear how funding and operations will support it.	The applicant has provided a plan for sustaining the project, identifying how the project will continue to be supported and funded beyond the grant period.	The applicant has provided a plan for sustaining the project, identifying how the project will continue to be supported and funded beyond the grant period. The plan clearly outlines how the program may expand and be a catalyst for new ideas.
7. Project Team	It is not clear that team members have the experience/skills to carry out project or the support of the library's leadership.	Team members may have the experience/skills to carry out project, but it is not clear that leadership and/or library staff support the project.	Team members have the experience, skills and leadership necessary to carry out the project. Library leadership supports the project, along with other staff/teams at the library.	Team members have outstanding experience and the skills necessary to carry out the project. It is clear that library leadership and library staff will support and contribute to the project.
8. Budget	Budget lacks detail and does not align with project proposal.	Budget provides details, but does not align with project proposal or is unrealistic.	Budget provides clear details and will adequately support the project planned.	Budget provides clear details and will adequately support the project planned. The library is contributing additional resources to carry out the project to amplify the impact of Studio Rhode.